

Non-proper value set and the Jacobian condition

Nguyen Van Chau *

Abstract

The non-proper value set of a nonsingular polynomial map from $\mathbf{C}^2$ into itself, if non-empty, must be a curve with one point at infinity.

2000 *Mathematical Subject Classification*: 14 H07, 14R15.

Key words and phrases: non-proper value set, Jacobian condition.

1. Let $f = (P, Q) : \mathbf{C}_{(x,y)}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_{(u,v)}^2$ be a dominant polynomial map, $P, Q \in \mathbf{C}[x, y]$ and denote $J(P, Q) := P_x Q_y - P_y Q_x$. Recall that the so-called *non-proper value set* A_f of f is the set consists of all point $a \in \mathbf{C}^2$ such that the inverse $f^{-1}(K)$ is not compact for compact neighbourhoods $K \subset \mathbf{C}^2$ of a . This set A_f , if non-empty, must be a plane curve that each of it's irreducible components can be parameterized by a non-constant polynomial map from $\mathbf{C}$ into $\mathbf{C}^2$ (See [J]). The mysterious Jacobian conjecture (See [BMW] and [E]), posed first by Keller in 1939 and still open, asserts that a polynomial map $f = (P, Q)$ of $\mathbf{C}^2$ with $J(P, Q) \equiv \text{const.} \neq 0$ must have a polynomial inverse. This conjecture can be reduced to prove that the non-proper value set A_f is empty. In any way one may think that in a counterexample to the Jacobian conjecture, if exists, the non-proper value set must has a very special configure. Such knowledges may be useful in pursuit of this conjecture. In [C] it was observed that the irreducible components of A_f in such a counterexample can be parameterized by polynomial maps $\xi \mapsto (p(\xi), q(\xi))$ with $\deg p / \deg q = \deg P / \deg Q$. In this paper we would like to notice that the non-proper value set of a nonsingular polynomial map from $\mathbf{C}^2$ into itself, if non-empty, must be a curve with one point at infinity.

*Supported in part by the National Basic Program on Natural Science, Vietnam.

Theorem 1. Suppose $f = (P, Q)$ is a polynomial map of $\mathbf{C}^2$ with $J(P, Q) \equiv \text{const.} \neq 0$, $\deg P = Kd$ and $\deg Q = Ke$, $\gcd(d, e) = 1$, P and Q are monic in y ,

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, y) &= Ay^{Kd} + \dots + a_1(x)y + a_0(x), \quad A \neq 0 \\ Q(x, y) &= By^{Ke} + \dots + b_1(x)y + b_0(x), \quad B \neq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

If the non-proper value set A_f is not empty, then every irreducible component of A_f can be parameterized by polynomial maps of the form

$$\xi \mapsto (A\xi^{md} + \text{lower terms in } \xi, B\xi^{me} + \text{lower terms in } \xi), \quad m \in \mathbf{N}. \quad (2)$$

By definition A_f is the set of all value $a \in \mathbf{C}^2$ such that the number of solutions counted with multiplicities of the equation $f(x, y) = a$ is different from those for generic values in $\mathbf{C}^2$. Then, considering the components $P(x, y)$ and $Q(x, y)$ as elements of $\mathbf{C}[x][y]$ we can define the resultant

$$\text{Res}_y(P - u, Q - v) = R_0(u, v)x^N + \dots + R_N(u, v), \quad (3)$$

where $R_i \in \mathbf{C}[u, v]$, $R_0 \neq 0$. From the basic properties of the resultant function we know that N is the geometric degree of f and $A_f = \{(u, v) \in \mathbf{C}^2 : R_0(u, v) = 0\}$. Note that a curve given by a polynomial parameter of the form (1) can be defined by a polynomial of the form $(A^e u^e - B^d v^d)^m + \sum_{0 \leq id + je < mde} c_{ij} u^i v^j$ and its branch at infinity has a Newton- Puiseux series of the form $u = cv^{\frac{d}{e}} + \text{lower terms in } v$, where c is a d - radicals of B^d/A^e . Thus, Theorem 1 leads to

Corollary 1. Let f be as in Theorem 1. Then

$$R_0(u, v) = C(A^e u^e - B^d v^d)^M + \sum_{0 \leq id + je < Mde} c_{ij} u^i v^j \quad (4)$$

with $0 \neq C \in \mathbf{C}$ and $M \geq 0$.

Corollary 2. Let f be as in Theorem 1. If $A_f \neq \emptyset$, then A_f is a curve with one point at infinity and the irreducible branches at infinity of A_f have Newton-Puiseux series of the form

$$u = cv^{\frac{d}{e}} + \text{lower terms in } v$$

with coefficients c to be d - radicals of B^d/A^e .

As seen later, the monic representation in (1) of P and Q is only used to visualize the coefficient B^d/A^e . In fact, when $A_f \neq \emptyset$ the numbers d , e , B^d/A^e and the polynomial $R_0(u, v)$ are invariant of f under right actions of automorphisms of $\mathbf{C}^2$, since the set A_f does not depend on the coordinate (x, y) . Furthermore, the coefficient B^d/A^e is uniquely determined in the relation

$$P_+^e(x, y) = (B^d/A^e)Q_+^d(x, y),$$

which is dominated by the Jacobian condition when $\deg P > 1$ and $\deg Q > 1$. Here, P_+ and Q_+ are leading homogenous components of P and Q , respectively.

Theorem 1 will be proved in sections 2 - 5 by an elementary way using Newton- Puiseux expansions and the Newton Theorem. It is worth to determine the form of $R_0(u, v)$ by examining directly the resultant function $\text{Res}_y(P - u, Q - v)$.

2. Dcritical series of f . In order to prove Theorem 1, we need to setup our hypothesis. From now on, $f = (P, Q) : \mathbf{C}_{(x,y)}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_{(u,v)}^2$ is a given polynomial map with $J(P, Q) \equiv \text{const.} \neq 0$, $\deg P = Kd > 0$ and $\deg Q = Ke > 0$, $\gcd(d, e) = 1$. The Jacobian condition will be used really in Lemma 3 and the proof of Theorem 1. Since A_f does not depend on the coordinate (x, y) , to examine it we can assume that P and Q are monic in y ,

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, y) &= Ay^{Kd} + \dots + a_1(x)y + a_0(x), \quad A \neq 0 \\ Q(x, y) &= By^{Ke} + \dots + b_1(x)y + b_0(x), \quad B \neq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

With this representation the Newton-Puiseux roots at infinity $y(x)$ of each equations $P(x, y) = 0$ and $Q(x, y) = 0$ are fractional power series of the form

$$y(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k x^{1-\frac{k}{m}}, \quad m \in \mathbf{N}, \quad \gcd\{k : c_k \neq 0\} = 1,$$

for which the map $\tau \mapsto (\tau^m, y(\tau^m))$ is meromorphic and injective for τ larger enough. In view of the Newton theorem we can represent

$$P(x, y) = A \prod_{i=1}^{\deg P} (y - u_i(x)), \quad Q(x, y) = B \prod_{j=1}^{\deg Q} (y - v_j(x)), \tag{6}$$

where $u_i(x)$ and $v_j(x)$ are the Newton-Puiseux roots at infinity of the equations $P = 0$ and $Q = 0$, respectively. We refer the readers to [A] and [BK] for the Newton theorem and the Newton-Puiseux roots.

We begin with the description of the non-proper value set A_f of f via Newton-Puiseux expansion. We will work with finite fractional power series $\varphi(x, \xi)$ of the form

$$\varphi(x, \xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} a_k x^{1-\frac{k}{m}} + \xi x^{1-\frac{K}{m}}, m \in \mathbf{N}, \gcd\{k : a_k \neq 0\} = 1, \quad (7)$$

where ξ is a parameter. For convenience, we denote $\text{mult}(\varphi) := m$. A such series φ is called *dicritical series* of f if

$$f(x, \varphi(x, \xi)) = f_\varphi(\xi) + \text{lower terms in } x, \deg f_\varphi > 0.$$

The following description of A_f was presented in [C].

Lemma 1. (Lemma 4, [C])

$$A_f = \bigcup_{\varphi \text{ is a dicritical series of } f} f_\varphi(\mathbf{C}).$$

To see it, note that by definitions the non-proper value set A_f consists of all values $a \in \mathbf{C}^2$ such that there exists a sequence $\mathbf{C}^2 \ni p_i \rightarrow \infty$ with $f(p_i) \rightarrow a$. If φ is a dicritical series of f of the form (7), we can define the map $\Phi(t, \xi) := (t^{-m}, \varphi(t^{-m}, \xi))$. Then, Φ sends $\mathbf{C}^* \times \mathbf{C}$ to $\mathbf{C}^2$ and the line $\{0\} \times \mathbf{C}$ to the line at infinity of $\mathbf{C} \mathbb{P}^2$. The polynomial map $F_\varphi(t, \xi) := f \circ \Phi(t, \xi)$ sends the line $\{0\} \times \mathbf{C}$ into $A_f \subset \mathbf{C}^2$. Therefore, $f_\varphi(\mathbf{C})$ is an irreducible component of A_f , since $\deg f_\varphi > 0$. Conversely, if ℓ is an irreducible component of A_f , one can choose a smooth point (u_0, v_0) of A_f , $(u_0, v_0) \in \ell$, and an irreducible branch at infinity γ of the curve $P = u_0$ (or the curve $Q = v_0$) such that the image $f(\gamma)$ is a branch curve intersecting transversally ℓ at (u_0, v_0) . Let $u(x)$ be a Newton-Puiseux expansion at infinity of γ . Then, we can construct an unique dicritical series $\varphi(x, \xi)$ such that $u(x) = \varphi(x, \xi_0 + \text{lower term in } x)$. For this dicritical series φ we have $f_\varphi(\mathbf{C}) = \ell$.

4. Associated sequence of dicritical series. Let φ be a given dicritical series of f . Let us to represent

$$\varphi(x, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} c_k x^{1-\frac{n_k}{m_k}} + \xi x^{1-\frac{n_K}{m_K}}, \quad (8)$$

where $0 \leq \frac{n_0}{m_0} < \frac{n_1}{m_1} < \dots < \frac{n_{K-1}}{m_{K-1}} < \frac{n_K}{m_K} = \frac{n_\varphi}{m_\varphi}$ and $c_i \in \mathbf{C}$ may be the zero, so that the sequence of series $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=0,1,\dots,K}$ defined by

$$\varphi_i(x, \xi) := \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} c_k x^{1-\frac{n_k}{m_k}} + \xi x^{1-\frac{n_i}{m_i}}, i = 0, 1, \dots, K-1, \quad (9)$$

and $\varphi_K := \varphi$ satisfies the following properties:

S1) $\text{mult}(\varphi_i) = m_i$.

S2) For every $i < K$ at least one of polynomials p_{φ_i} and q_{φ_i} has a zero point different from the zero.

S3) For every $\psi(x, \xi) = \varphi_i(x, c_i) + \xi x^{1-\alpha}$, $\frac{n_i}{m_i} < \alpha < \frac{n_{i+1}}{m_{i+1}}$, each of the polynomials p_ψ and q_ψ is either constant or a monomial of ξ .

The representation (8) of φ is thus the longest representation such that for each index i there is a Newton-Puiseux root $y(x)$ of $P = 0$ or $Q = 0$ such that $y(x) = \varphi_i(x, c + \text{lower terms in } x)$, $c \neq 0$ if $c_i = 0$. This representation and the associated sequence $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=0,1,\dots,K}$ are well defined and unique. Further, $\varphi_0(x, \xi) = \xi x$.

We will use the associated sequence $\{\varphi_i\}$ to determine the form of the polynomials $f_\varphi(\xi)$. For simplicity in notations, in below we shall use lower indices “ i ” instead of the lower indices “ φ_i ”.

For each associated series φ_i , $i = 0, \dots, K$, let us represent

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, \varphi_i(x, \xi)) &= p_i(\xi) x^{\frac{a_i}{m_i}} + \text{lower terms in } x \\ Q(x, \varphi_i(x, \xi)) &= q_i(\xi) x^{\frac{b_i}{m_i}} + \text{lower terms in } x, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $p_i, q_i \in \mathbf{C}[\xi] - \{0\}$, $a_i, b_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $m_i := \text{mult}(\varphi_i)$.

Let $\{u_i(x), i = 1, \dots, \deg P\}$ and $\{v_j(x), j = 1, \dots, \deg Q\}$ be the collections of the Newton-Puiseux roots of $P = 0$ and $Q = 0$, respectively. As shown in Section 2, by the Newton theorem the polynomials $P(x, y)$ and $Q(x, y)$ can be factorized in the form

$$P(x, y) = A \prod_{i=1}^{\deg P} (y - u_i(x)), Q(x, y) = B \prod_{j=1}^{\deg Q} (y - v_j(x)). \quad (11)$$

For each $i = 0, \dots, K$, let us define

- $S_i := \{k : 1 \leq k \leq \deg P : u_k(x) = \varphi_i(x, a_{ik} + \text{lower terms in } x) a_{ik} \in \mathbf{C}\}$;

- $T_i := \{k : 1 \leq k \leq \deg Q : v_k(x) = \varphi_i(x, b_{ik} + \text{lower terms in } x), b_{ik} \in \mathbf{C}\};$
- $S_i^0 := \{k \in S_i : a_{ik} = c_i\};$
- $T_i^0 := \{k \in T_i : b_{ik} = c_i\}.$

Represent

$$p_i(\xi) = A_i \bar{p}_i(\xi)(\xi - c_i)^{\#S_i^0}, \bar{p}_i(\xi) := \prod_{k \in S_i \setminus S_i^0} (\xi - a_{ik}),$$

and

$$q_i(\xi) = B_i \bar{q}_i(\xi)(\xi - c_i)^{\#T_i^0}, \bar{q}_i(\xi) := \prod_{k \in T_i \setminus T_i^0} (\xi - b_{ik}).$$

Lemma 2.

i) $n_0 = 0, m_0 = 1$ and

$$A_0 = A, \deg p_0 = a_0 = Kd$$

$$B_0 = B, \deg q_0 = b_0 = Ke.$$

ii) For $i = 1, \dots, K$

$$A_i = A_{i-1} \bar{p}_{i-1}(c_{i-1}), \deg p_i = \#S_i = \#S_{i-1}^0$$

$$\frac{a_i}{m_i} = \frac{a_{i-1}}{m_{i-1}} + \#S_{i-1}^0 \left(\frac{n_{i-1}}{m_{i-1}} - \frac{n_i}{m_i} \right)$$

$$B_i = B_{i-1} \bar{q}_{i-1}(c_{i-1}), \deg q_i = \#T_i = \#T_{i-1}^0,$$

$$\frac{b_i}{m_i} = \frac{b_{i-1}}{m_{i-1}} + \#T_{i-1}^0 \left(\frac{n_{i-1}}{m_{i-1}} - \frac{n_i}{m_i} \right),$$

Proof. Note that $\varphi_0(x, \xi) = \xi x$ and $\varphi_i(x, \xi) = \varphi_{i-1}(x, c_{i-1}) + \xi x^{1 - \frac{n_i}{m_i}}$ for $i > 0$. Then, substituting $y = \varphi_i(x, \xi)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, K$, into the Newton factorizations of $P(x, y)$ and $Q(x, y)$ in (11) one can easily verify the conclusions. ■

4. The Jacobian condition. Let φ be a dicritical series of f and $\{\varphi_i\}$ be its associated series. Denote

$$J_i(\xi) := a_i p_i(\xi) \dot{q}_i(\xi) - b_i \dot{p}_i(\xi) q_i(\xi).$$

The Jacobian condition will be considered in the following meaning.

Lemma 3: Let $0 \leq i < K$. If $a_i > 0$ and $b_i > 0$, then

$$J_i(\xi) \equiv \begin{cases} -m_i J(P, Q) & \text{if } a_i + b_i = 2m_i - n_i, \\ 0 & \text{if } a_i + b_i > 2m_i - n_i. \end{cases}$$

Further, $J_i(\xi) \equiv 0$ if and only if $p_i(\xi)$ and $q_i(\xi)$ have a common zero point. In this case

$$p_i(\xi)^{b_i} = C q_i(\xi)^{a_i}, \quad C \in \mathbf{C}^*.$$

Proof. Since $a_i > 0$ and $b_i > 0$, taking differentiation of $Df(t^{-m_i}, \varphi_i(t^{-m_i}, \xi))$, we have that

$$m_i J(P, Q) t^{n_i - 2m_i - 1} + \text{higher terms in } t = -J_i(\xi) t^{-a_i - b_i - 1} + \text{higher terms in } t.$$

Comparing two sides of it we can get the first conclusion. The remains are left to the readers as an elementary exercise. ■

5. Proof of Theorem 1.

i) Assume that $A_f \neq \emptyset$. Then, A_f is a plane curve in $\mathbf{C}^2$. Let ℓ be an irreducible component of A_f . By Lemma 1 there is a dicritical series φ of f such that ℓ can be parameterized by the polynomial map $f_\varphi(\xi) = (p_\varphi(\xi), q_\varphi(\xi))$, i.e. $\ell = f_\varphi(\mathbf{C})$. We will show that

$$f_\varphi(\xi) = (AC_\varphi^d \xi^{D_\varphi^d} + \dots, BC_\varphi^e \xi^{D_\varphi^e} + \dots), \quad C_\varphi \neq 0, \quad D_\varphi \in \mathbf{N}. \quad (12)$$

Then, by changing variable $\xi \mapsto C_\varphi^{-1} \xi$ we get the desired parameterization $\xi \mapsto (A\xi^{D_\varphi^d} + \dots, B\xi^{D_\varphi^e} + \dots)$ of ℓ .

ii) Consider the associated sequence $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^K$ of φ . Since $A_f \neq \emptyset$ as assumed,

$$\deg P > 1, \deg Q > 1.$$

Otherwise, f is bijective and $A_f = \emptyset$. Since φ is a dicritical series of f , without loss of generality we can assume that

$$\deg p_K > 0, \quad a_K = 0 \text{ and } b_K \leq 0.$$

Then, from the constructing of the sequence φ_i it follows that

$$\begin{cases} p_i(c_i) = 0 \text{ and } a_i > 0, & i = 0, 1, \dots, K-1 \\ q_i(c_i) = 0 & \text{if } b_i > 0 \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

This allows us to use the Jacobian condition in the meaning of Lemma 3. Then, by induction using Lemma 2, Lemma 3 and (13) we can obtain without difficult the following.

Assertion: For $i = 0, 1, \dots, K-1$ we have

$$a_i > 0, b_i > 0, \quad (a)$$

$$\frac{a_i}{b_i} = \frac{\#S_i}{\#T_i} = \frac{d}{e} \quad (b)$$

and

$$\frac{\#S_i^0}{\#T_i^0} = \frac{d}{e}, \bar{p}_i(\xi)^e = \bar{q}_i(\xi)^d. \quad (c)$$

iii) Now, we prove (12). By Lemma 2 (iii) and (b-c) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{b_K}{m_K} \\ &= \frac{b_{K-1}}{m_{K-1}} + \#T_{K-1}^0 \left(\frac{n_{K-1}}{m_{K-1}} - \frac{n_K}{m_K} \right) \\ &= \frac{e}{d} \left[\frac{a_{K-1}}{m_{K-1}} + \#S_{K-1}^0 \left(\frac{n_{K-1}}{m_{K-1}} - \frac{n_K}{m_K} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{e}{d} \frac{a_K}{m_K} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $a_K = 0$. Hence, $f_\varphi(\xi) = (p_K(\xi), q_K(\xi))$ by definition and (a). Using Lemma 2 (ii-iii) to compute the coefficient A_K and B_K we can get

$$A_K = A\left(\prod_{k \leq K-1} \bar{p}_k(c_k)\right), B_K = B\left(\prod_{k \leq K-1} \bar{q}_k(c_k)\right).$$

Let C_φ be a d -radical of $(\prod_{k \leq K-1} \bar{p}_k(c_k))$ and $D_\varphi := \gcd(\#S_{K-1}^0, \#T_{K-1}^0)$. Then, by Lemma 2 (ii) and (b- c) we have that $A_K = AC_\varphi^d$, $B_K = BC_\varphi^e$, $\deg p_K = \#S_{K-1}^0 = D_\varphi d$ and $\deg q_K = \#T_{K-1}^0 = D_\varphi e$. Thus,

$$f_\varphi(\xi) = (AC_\varphi^d \xi^{D_\varphi d} + \dots, BC_\varphi^e \xi^{D_\varphi e} + \dots).$$

■

Acknowledgment. The author wishes to thank Prof. H.V. Ha for many valuable suggestions and useful discussions.

References

[AM] S.S. Abhyankar and T.T. Moh, *Embeddings of the line in the plane*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 276 (1975), 148-166.

- [A] S. S. Abhyankar, *Expansion Techniques in Algebraic Geometry*, Tata institute of fundamental research, Tata Institute, 1977.
- [BCW] H. Bass, E. Connell and D. Wright, *The Jacobian conjecture: reduction of degree and formal expansion of the inverse*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 7 (1982), 287-330.
- [BK] E. Brieskorn, H. Knorrer, *Ebene algebraische Kurven*, Birkhauser, Basel-Boston-Stuttgart 1981.
- [C] Nguyen Van Chau, *Non-zero constant Jacobian polynomial maps of C^2* . J. Ann. Pol. Math. 71, No. 3, 287-310 (1999).
- [E] van den Essen, Arno, *Polynomial automorphisms and the Jacobian conjecture*. (English. English summary) Progress in Mathematics, 190. Birkhuser Verlag, Basel, 2000.
- [J] Z. Jelonek, *The set of points at which a polynomial map is not proper*. Ann. Pol. Math, 58 (1993), 259-266.

Hanoi Institute of Mathematics, P. O. Box 631, Boho 10000, Hanoi, Vietnam.
 E-mail: nvchau@thevinh.ncst.ac.vn